



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Thayer A. Coburn

Examiner: Andrew T. Piziali

Serial No.: 10/707,616

Group Art Unit: 1771

Filed: December 24, 2003

Attorney Docket No.: 716042.13

For: IN-LINE FABRIC LABELING

PRINTING SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED:

METHOD OF USE

Customer No.: 027128

Confirmation No.: 1615

_ ___.

Last Office Action: October 4, 2005

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated October 4, 2005, Applicant hereby elects for prosecution at this time all Group II claims, namely, claims 11-26 and 30-39, which are drawn to a process for creating a label. The election of Group II claims is made with traverse without prejudice to the elected Group II claims and without prejudice to the non-elected Group I and III claims.

It is respectfully submitted that the non-elected Group III are closely related to the elected Group II claims since the Group II claims are directed to a process for creating a label for a product <u>utilizing a computer system</u>. In addition, elected independent claims 11, 24 and 26 specifically recite the use of a computer database within the computer system for accomplishing

10-24-05

3

IFW

OCT 2 1 2005

& TRADET

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 10/707,616

Filing Date December 24, 2003

First Named Inventor Thayer A. Coburn

Art Unit 1771

Examiner Name Andrew T. Piziali

Attorney Docket Number 716042.13

| ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|
| Fee Transmittal Form | Drawing(s) | | After Allowance Communication TC |
| Fee Attached | Licensing-related Papers | | Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences |
| Amendment / Reply | Petition | | Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) |
| After Final | Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application | | Proprietary Information |
| Affidavits/declaration(s) | Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address | ss | Status Letter |
| Extension of Time Request | Terminal Disclaimer | \boxtimes | Other Enclosure(s) (please identify |
| Express Abandonment Request | Request for Refund | | below); return postcard |
| Information Disclosure Statement | CD, Number of CD(s) | - | |
| Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) | Landscape Table on CD | | |
| Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application | Remarks: | | |
| Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT | | | |
| Firm Name Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin, LLP | | | |
| Signature | | | |
| Printed Name Samuel Digirolario | | | |
| Date 000 | -05 | Reg. No | 29,915 |

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Express Mail Label No.:

Signature

Typed or printed name

Jennifor Wheatley

Date

2 OCL, 05

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Application of: Thayer A. Coburn

Serial No.: 10/707,616

Response to Restriction Requirement

certain tasks. The Group III claims are directed to the same computer system and the same computer database as recited in the elected Group II claims. Still further, elected claims 30-39 likewise recite a process in a computer system and elected claims 35-39 specifically recite the use of electronic files and a global computer network for accessing such electronic files, all of which are likewise closely related to non-elected Group III claims which are directed to a computer-readable medium containing the data structure utilizing a computer system. It is therefore respectfully submitted that the invention set forth in the Group II and Group III claims can be easily searched in one search effort since all of these claims recite similar computer elements. Since the subject matter covered by the non-elected Group III claims is so closely related to the subject matter of the elected Group II claims, it would place an undue burden on Applicant to have to prosecute applications with respect thereto. Applicant therefore respectfully requests withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the non-elected Group III claims.

In similar fashion, since the Group II claims relating to a process have been elected, if a process claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn product claims that depend from or otherwise include all of the limitations of the allowable process claim should be rejoined and reconsidered for inclusion in this application. Therefore, Applicant is respectfully requesting that rejoinder of the Group I claims be reconsidered upon indication of allowable subject matter with respect to the elected Group II process claims based upon the same arguments and rationale set forth in MPEP A21.04

Because Applicant may wish to pursue claims of the non-elected Groups at a later date by Divisional Application, if necessary, it is requested that these claims, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142, be permitted to remain in the application, but withdrawn from examination.

Application of: Thayer A. Coburn

Serial No.: 10/707,616

Response to Restriction Requirement

This response does not present any new matter. Accordingly, as all requirements of the

Action have been complied with, an action on the merits and a Notice of Allowance are hereby

respectfully solicited.

If any issue regarding the allowability of any of the pending claims in the present

application could be readily resolved, or if other action could be taken to further advance this

application such as an Examiner's amendment, or if the Examiner should have any questions

regarding the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner please telephone

Applicant's undersigned attorney in this regard.

It is respectfully noted that Mr. Kevin Kercher is no longer associated with this firm, and

is no longer Applicant's attorney. All future correspondence should be directed to the

undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

210ct 05

Samuel Digirolamo

Reg. No. 29,915

Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP

720 Olive Street, Suite 2400

St. Louis, MO 63101

314-345-6000

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT

3